Immune Systems as Complex Learning



Machines

Terran Lane and Melanie Moses Department of Computer Science University of New Mexico



Abstract

We view immune systems as *learning machines* that extract information from their environments, encoding it in an internal representation that enables the machine to improve its performance (e.g., measured as host survival) over time.

The core resulting hypothesis is that such machines can operate in at least two performance regimes. In a "stationary environment", the machine faces an essentially fixed distribution of environmental stimuli, such as an individual that faces a predominantly fixed population of pathogens in its lifetime. This regime corresponds to "classical" learning machines, which display a characteristically-shaped asymptotic learning curve. Such learning machines are well understood in the applied and theoretical learning literature, and we intend to apply those tools and techniques to understand how certain features of adaptive immunity allow effective response to a wide variety of pathogens. For example, classical learning results predict that fixed-capacity learning machines are sufficient to learn a finite complexity, stationary concept. This may explain why a fixed-length antigen-binding variable region (essentially a fixed-length pattern recognizer) in

Machine Learning Background

A *learning machine* is a system that observes some environmental *data* and modifies its internal *state* so as to improve its *performance* over time.



- Nonstationary:
- Data changing as model updated
- Much less well understood
- May require unbounded model

The canonical learning curve (stationary regime)

Max performance

each antibody and a fixed B-cell population size are sufficient to recognize almost any pathogen.

However, the adaptive immune system must also perform well in a second, "non-stationary," regime, in which the environment adapts in response to the learning machine. Here, both pathogen and host immunity are considered learning machines, engaged in a competitive game or "arms race". For example, both immune system and pathogen "learn" to respond to each other over evolutionary time via differential survival of host organisms and pathogens. The adaptive immune system also learns to recognize an evolving population of pathogens within an individual's lifespan through somatic hypermutation. This non-stationary regime is less well studied or understood from a learning theory perspective, however fixed-capacity learning machines do not appear to be adequate.

We propose to investigate this hypothesis both to understand the evolution of complexity in the immune system and to better understand the dynamics of learning in the nonstationary regime. Two performance regimes:

- Stationary: distribution of data unchanging w.r.t. time
- Asymptotic performance [4]
- Finite information in environment and model
- Fixed capacity (fixed model size) sufficient



 $\tau_h \ll \tau_p$ $\tau_h \approx \tau$ $\tau_h \gg \tau_p$ Pathogen Human population vs flu population Individual mouse vs pathogens Host/ Individual human vs HIV exposed to in its lifetime Bird population vs WNV population Host somatic hypermutation **Hosts**: Somatic hypermutation, MHC Viral genome evolves within generates effective B cell repertoire. Adaptive Element evolution, cross reactive immunity each host to evade CD8 CTL [2]. Lymphocyte diversity declines with age Viral genome: evolves multiple [1] due to repeat exposure to similar serotypes, antigenic drift & shift [3]. pathogens



Measure Ab diversity with age in control mice exposed to a constant pathogen population and mice continuously exposed to new pathogens: Ab diversity asymptotes only in control mice



Measure change in viral genome diversity in response to drug treatment and CTL response: Expect viral titer to asymptote and diversity to peak & decline Question: do CTL continuously evolve in response to HIV?



Stationary Regime

Nonstationary Regime

Discussion

Hypotheses/

• We attempt to predict how pathogens and immune responses co-

 Hypothesis 2: Representation/learning capacity for stationary regime immune mechanisms can be bounded; learning capacity for

- evolve given different time scales of evolution for each.
- Viruses & adaptive immunity evolve over short (e.g. HIV & somatic hypermutation) and long (e.g. antigenic shift in influenza & MHC evolution) timescales.
- Effective immune response & therapies operate where $\tau_h \gg \tau_p$
- Hypothesis I: Nonstationary regime drives complexity
 E.g. arms race between MHC and viral strains increases complexity of both: mutual moving targets
- nonstationary regime must be unbounded
 E.g., Fixed-length antigen strings vs. unbounded genetic string length representation for MHC et al.
 Studying evolutionary arms races may guide ML algorithms for
- nonstationary learning domains We Seek Suggestions for experimental systems to test stationary and nonstationary learning

References

[1] Messaoudi et al JEM 200(10) 2004
 [2] Leslie et al Nature Med 10(3) 2004
 [3] Fitch et al PNAS 94(15) 2007
 [4] Kearns, M. J. and Vazirani, U.V., An Introduction to Computational Learning Theory. MIT Press, 1994.
 Acknowledgements: Prof. Moses's work is supported by the NIH Grant P20RR018754 to the UNM Center for Evolutionary and Theoretical Immunology (CETI). Prof Lane's work is supported by NSF grant IIS-0705681.