

Belief Propagation & Beyond

Michael (Misha) Chertkov

Center for Nonlinear Studies & Theory Division, LANL & New Mexico Consortium

Workshop on Frontiers of Controls, Games, and Network Science with Civilian and Military Applications UT Austin, February 19, 2010

Outline

Introduction

- Graphical Models
- Message Passing/ Belief Propagation
- Gauge Transformations & Loop Calculus

2 Planar Graphical Models

- Dimer and Ising Models on Planar Graphs
- Planar (and surface) graphical models which are det-easy

3 The Story of Permanent

- BP for Permanent
- Loop Calculus, Lower and Upper Bounds for Permanent

Graphical Models Message Passing/ Belief Propagation Gauge Transformations & Loop Calculus

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト

Binary Graphical Models

Forney style - variables on the edges



- Most Probable Configuration = Maximum Likelihood = Ground State: arg max P(σ)
- Marginal Probability: e.g. $\mathcal{P}(\sigma_{ab}) \equiv \sum_{\vec{\sigma} \setminus \sigma_{ab}} \mathcal{P}(\vec{\sigma})$
- Partition Function: Z Our main object of interest

Introduction

Planar Graphical Models The Story of Permanent Graphical Models Message Passing/ Belief Propagation Gauge Transformations & Loop Calculus

BP is Exact on a Tree





$$Z_{51}(\sigma_{51}) = f_1(\sigma_{51}), \quad Z_{52}(\sigma_{52}) = f_2(\sigma_{52}),$$

$$Z_{63}(\sigma_{63}) = f_3(\sigma_{63}), \quad Z_{64}(\sigma_{64}) = f_4(\sigma_{64})$$

$$Z_{65}(\sigma_{56}) = \sum_{\vec{\sigma}_5 \setminus \sigma_{56}} f_5(\vec{\sigma}_5) Z_{51}(\sigma_{51}) Z_{52}(\sigma_{52})$$

$$Z = \sum_{\vec{\sigma}_5} f_6(\vec{\sigma}_6) Z_{63}(\sigma_{63}) Z_{64}(\sigma_{64}) Z_{65}(\sigma_{65})$$

$$Z_{ba}(\sigma_{ab}) = \sum_{\vec{\sigma}_{a} \setminus \sigma_{ab}} f_{a}(\vec{\sigma}_{a}) Z_{ac}(\sigma_{ac}) Z_{ad}(\sigma_{ad}) \Rightarrow Z_{ab}(\sigma_{ab}) = A_{ab} \exp(\eta_{ab} \sigma_{ab})$$

Belief Propagation Equations

$$\sum_{\vec{\sigma}_a} f_a(\vec{\sigma}_a) \exp(\sum_{c \in a} \eta_{ac} \sigma_{ac}) \left(\sigma_{ab} - \tanh\left(\eta_{ab} + \eta_{ba}\right)\right) = 0$$

e.g. Thouless-Anderson-Palmer (1977) Eqs.

http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/Talks/IT/bp&beyond.pdf Belief Propagation & Beyond

Graphical Models Message Passing/ Belief Propagation Gauge Transformations & Loop Calculus

Belief Propagation (BP) and Message Passing

- Apply what is exact on a tree (the equation) to other problems on graphs with loops [heuristics ... but a good one]
- To solve the system of *N* equations is EASIER then to count (or to choose one of) 2^{*N*} states.

Bethe Free Energy formulation of BP [Yedidia, Freeman, Weiss '01]

Minimize the Kubblack-Leibler functional

$$\mathcal{F}\{b(\{\sigma\})\} \equiv \sum_{\{\sigma\}} b(\{\sigma\}) \ln \frac{b(\{\sigma\})}{\mathcal{L}(\{\sigma\})}$$
 Difficult/Exact

under the following "almost variational" substitution" for beliefs:

$$b(\{\sigma\}) \approx \frac{\prod_{i} b_{i}(\sigma_{i}) \prod_{j} b^{j}(\sigma^{j})}{\prod_{(i,j)} b^{j}_{i}(\sigma^{j}_{i})} \quad \text{[tracking]} \quad \text{Easy/Approximate}$$



http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/Talks/IT/bp&beyond.pdf Belief Propagation & Beyond

Graphical Models Message Passing/ Belief Propagation Gauge Transformations & Loop Calculus

Gauge Transformations

Chertkov, Chernyak '06

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > 三日 のへの

Local Gauge, G, Transformations



$$Z = \sum_{\vec{\sigma}} \prod_{a} f_{a}(\vec{\sigma}_{a}), \ \vec{\sigma}_{a} = (\sigma_{ab}, \sigma_{ac}, \cdots)$$

$$\sigma_{ab} = \sigma_{ba} = \pm 1$$

$$f_{a}(\vec{\sigma}_{a} = (\sigma_{ab}, \cdots)) \rightarrow \sum_{\sigma'_{ab}} G_{ab}(\sigma_{ab}, \sigma'_{ab}) f_{a}(\sigma'_{ab}, \cdots)$$

$$\sum_{\sigma_{ab}} G_{ab}(\sigma_{ab}, \sigma') G_{ba}(\sigma_{ab}, \sigma'') = \delta(\sigma', \sigma'')$$

The partition function is invariant under any G-gauge!

$$Z = \sum_{\vec{\sigma}} \prod_{a} f_{a}(\vec{\sigma}_{a}) = \sum_{\vec{\sigma}} \prod_{a} \left(\sum_{\vec{\sigma}'_{a}} f_{a}(\vec{\sigma}'_{a}) \prod_{b \in a} G_{ab}(\sigma_{ab}, \sigma'_{ab}) \right)$$

http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/Talks/IT/bp&beyond.pdf

Graphical Models Message Passing/ Belief Propagation Gauge Transformations & Loop Calculus

Belief Propagation as a Gauge Fixing

Chertkov, Chernyak '06

$$Z = \sum_{\vec{\sigma}} \prod_{a} f_{a}(\vec{\sigma}_{a}) = \sum_{\sigma} \prod_{a} \left(\sum_{\vec{\sigma}'_{a}} f_{a}(\vec{\sigma}'_{a}) \prod_{b \in a} G_{ab}(\sigma_{ab}, \sigma'_{ab}) \right)$$
$$Z = \underbrace{Z_{0}(G)}_{\text{ground state}} + \underbrace{\sum_{all \text{ possible colorings of the graph}}_{\vec{\sigma} \neq +\vec{1}, \quad \text{excited states}} Z_{c}(G)$$

Belief Propagation Gauge

 $\forall a \& \forall b \in a :$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

$$\sum_{\vec{\sigma'}_{a}} f_{a}(\vec{\sigma}') G_{ab}^{(bp)}(\sigma_{ab} = -1, \sigma'_{ab}) \prod_{c \in a}^{c \neq b} G_{ac}^{(bp)}(+1, \sigma'_{ac}) = 0$$

No loose BLUE=colored edges at any vertex of the graph!

Graphical Models Message Passing/ Belief Propagation Gauge Transformations & Loop Calculus

Belief Propagation as a Gauge Fixing (II)

 $\forall a \& \forall b \in a :$

$$\frac{\sum_{\sigma'_{a}} f_{a}(\vec{\sigma}')G_{ab}^{(bp)}(-1,\sigma'_{ab})\prod_{c\in a}^{c\neq b}G_{ac}^{(bp)}(+1,\sigma'_{ac}) = 0}{\sum_{\sigma_{ab}} G_{ab}(\sigma_{ab},\sigma')G_{ba}(\sigma_{ab},\sigma'') = \delta(\sigma',\sigma'')} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \underbrace{G_{ba}^{(bp)}(+1,\sigma'_{ab}) = \rho_{a}^{-1} \sum_{\sigma'_{a} \setminus \sigma'_{ab}} f_{a}(\vec{\sigma}') \prod_{c\in a}^{c\neq b} G_{ac}^{(bp)}(+1,\sigma'_{ac})}{\rho_{a} = \sum_{\sigma'_{a}} f_{a}(\vec{\sigma}') \prod_{c\in a}^{c\neq b} G_{ac}^{(bp)}(+1,\sigma'_{ac})} \end{cases}$$

Belief Propagation in terms of Messages

$$G_{ab}^{(bp)}(+1,\sigma) = \frac{\exp(\sigma\eta_{ab})}{2\sqrt{\cosh(\eta_{ab}+\eta_{ba})}}, \quad G_{ab}^{(bp)}(-1,\sigma) = \sigma \frac{\exp(-\sigma\eta_{ba})}{2\sqrt{\cosh(\eta_{ab}+\eta_{ba})}} \Longrightarrow$$
$$\sum_{\vec{\sigma}_{a}} f_{a}(\vec{\sigma}_{a}) \exp\left(\sum_{c \in a} \sigma_{ac}\eta_{ac}\right) \left(\sigma_{ab} - \tanh\left(\eta_{ab} + \eta_{ba}\right)\right) = 0$$

$$b_{a}(\vec{\sigma}_{a}) = \frac{f_{a}(\vec{\sigma}_{a})\exp\left(\sum_{b\in a}\sigma_{ab}\eta_{ab}\right)}{\sum_{\vec{\sigma}_{a}}f_{a}(\vec{\sigma}_{a})\exp\left(\sum_{b\in a}\sigma_{ab}\eta_{ab}\right)}, \quad b_{ab}(\sigma) = \frac{\exp(\sigma(\eta_{ab}+\eta_{ba}))}{\sum_{\sigma}\exp(\sigma(\eta_{ab}+\eta_{ba}))}$$

Graphical Models Message Passing/ Belief Propagation Gauge Transformations & Loop Calculus

Chertkov, Chernyak '06

Loop Series:

Exact (!!) expression in terms of BP

$$Z = \sum_{\vec{\sigma}_{\sigma}} \prod_{a} f_{a}(\vec{\sigma}_{a}) = Z_{0} \left(1 + \sum_{C} r(C) \right)$$
$$r(C) = \frac{\prod_{a \in C} \mu_{a}}{\prod_{(ab) \in C} (1 - m_{ab}^{2})} = \prod_{a \in C} \tilde{\mu}_{a}$$

 $C \in \text{Generalized Loops} = \text{Loops}$ without loose ends

$$\begin{split} m_{ab} &= \sum_{\vec{\sigma}_a} b_a^{(bp)}(\vec{\sigma}_a) \sigma_{ab} \\ \mu_a &= \sum_{\vec{\sigma}_a} b_a^{(bp)}(\vec{\sigma}_a) \prod_{b \in a, C} (\sigma_{ab} - m_{ab}) \end{split}$$



- The Loop Series is finite
- All terms in the series are calculated within BP
- BP is exact on a tree
- BP is a Gauge fixing condition. Other choices of Gauges would lead to different representation.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > 三日 のへで

Graphical Models Message Passing/ Belief Propagation Gauge Transformations & Loop Calculus

BP (Loop Calculus) + results ('06-...)

... not discussed today ...

- Exact Algorithm & Efficient Truncation of Loops [V. Gómez, J.M. Mooij, H.J. Kappen '06]
- Improving LP/BP decoding with loops [MC '07]
- Loop Tower (general finite alphabet) [VC,MC '07]
- Low bound on partition function for some special (attractive) graphical models [Sudderth, Wainwright, Willsky '07]
- Fermions & Loops, e.g. monomer-dimer =series over dets [VC,MC '08]
- Counting Independent Sets Using the Bethe Approximation [V. Chandrasekaran, MC, D. Gamarnik, D. Shah, J. Shin '09]
- Beyond Gaussian BP (det=BP*det & orbit product) [J. Johnson, VC, MC '09-'10]
- ... also ... Particle Tracking (Learning with BP), Phase Transitions in Power Grids, Interdiction and OTHER APPLICATIONS
- BP+ and gauges on planar and surface graphs [VC, MC '09-'10]
- BP+ for Permanents (of non-negative matrices) [Y. Watanabe, MC '09]

Dimer and Ising Models on Planar Graphs Planar (and surface) graphical models which are det-easy

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三) (三)

= 990

Outline

Introduction

- Graphical Models
- Message Passing/ Belief Propagation
- Gauge Transformations & Loop Calculus

2 Planar Graphical Models

- Dimer and Ising Models on Planar Graphs
- Planar (and surface) graphical models which are det-easy

3 The Story of Permanent

- BP for Permanent
- Loop Calculus, Lower and Upper Bounds for Permanent

Dimer and Ising Models on Planar Graphs Planar (and surface) graphical models which are det-easy

Glassy Ising & Dimer Models on a Planar Graph



Partition Function of Dimer Model, $\pi_{ij} = 0, 1$

perfect matching

$$Z = \sum_{\vec{\pi}} \prod_{(i,j)\in\Gamma} (z_{ij})^{\pi_{ij}} \prod_{i\in\Gamma} \delta\left(\sum_{j\in i} \pi_{ij}, 1\right)$$

http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/Talks/IT/bp&beyond.pdf

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > 三日 のへで

Ising & Dimer Classics

- L. Onsager, Crystal Statistics, Phys.Rev. 65, 117 (1944)
- M. Kac, J.C. Ward, A combinatorial solution of the Two-dimensional Ising Model, Phys. Rev. 88, 1332 (1952)
- C.A. Hurst and H.S. Green, New Solution of the Ising Problem for a Rectangular Lattice, J.of Chem.Phys. 33, 1059 (1960)
- M.E. Fisher, Statistical Mechanics on a Plane Lattice, Phys.Rev 124, 1664 (1961)
- P.W. Kasteleyn, *The statistics of dimers on a lattice*, Physics **27**, 1209 (1961)
- P.W. Kasteleyn, *Dimer Statistics and Phase Transitions*, J. Math. Phys. **4**, 287 (1963)
- M.E. Fisher, On the dimer solution of planar Ising models, J. Math. Phys. 7, 1776 (1966)
- F. Barahona, *On the computational complexity of Ising spin glass models*, J.Phys. A **15**, 3241 (1982)

Dimer and Ising Models on Planar Graphs Planar (and surface) graphical models which are det-easy

Pfaffian solution of the Matching problem



Direct edges of the graph such that for every internal face the number of edges oriented clockwise is odd



http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/Talks/IT/bp&beyond.pdf

Planar Spin Glass and Dimer Matching Problems

The Pfaffian formula with the "odd-face" orientation rule extends to any planar graph thus proving constructively that

- Counting weighted number of dimer matchings on a planar graph is easy
- Calculating partition function of the spin glass Ising model on a planar graph is easy

Planar is generally difficult

[Barahona '82]

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

- Planar spin-glass problem with magnetic field is difficult
- Dimer-monomer matching is difficult even in the planar case

Are there other (than Ising and dimer) planar graphical models which are det-easy?

Holographic Algorithms

[Valiant '02-'08]

- reduction to dimers via
- "classical" one-to-one gadgets
 - (e.g. Ising model to dimer model)
- "holographic" gadgets (e.g. Ice model to Dimer model)
- resulted in discovery of variety of new easy planar models

Gauge Transformations

[Chertkov, Chernyak '06-'09]

- Equivalent to the holographic gadgets (different gauges = different transformations)
- Belief Propagation (BP) is a special choice of the gauge freedom ... other gauges may also be useful

Dimer and Ising Models on Planar Graphs Planar (and surface) graphical models which are det-easy

BP+ for Planar [degree \leq 3]

Loop Series (general) [MC,Chernyak '06]

$$Z = Z_0 \cdot z, \ z \equiv 1 + \sum_C r_C$$



Summing 2-regular (closed curve) partition is det-easy!! [MC,Chernyak,Teodorescu '08]

$$Z_s = Z_0 \cdot z_s, \quad z_s = 1 + \sum_{C \in \mathcal{G}}^{\forall a \in C, \ |\delta(a)|_C = 2} r_C$$

[JSTAT '08]

Efficient Approximate Scheme [Gomez,MC,Kappen '09] http://arXiv.org/abs/0901.0786

UAI, 2009 + to appear in JML '10 $\,$



http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/Talks/IT/bp&beyond.pdf

Dimer and Ising Models on Planar Graphs Planar (and surface) graphical models which are det-easy

Easy Models of degree ≤ 3 [MC,Chernyak,Teodorescu '08]

Generic planar problem is difficult



Easy Models of degree \leq 3 (II)

To describe the family of easy edge-binary models of degree not larger than three (partition function is reducible to Pfaffian of a $|\mathcal{G}_1| \times |\mathcal{G}_1|$ -dimensional skew-symmetric matrix) one needs to:

Item #1: Generate an arbitrary factor-function set which satisfies: $\forall a: W^{(a)}(\vec{\sigma}_a) = 0$ if $\sum_{b\sim a} \sigma_{ab} \neq 0 \pmod{2}$

Item #2: Apply an arbitrary skew-orthogonal Gauge-transformation:

$$W^{(a)}(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{a}) \rightarrow f_{a}(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{a}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\pi}_{a}'} \left(\prod_{b \sim a} G_{ab}(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{ab}, \boldsymbol{\pi}_{ab}') \right) W^{(a)}(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{a}')$$

$$\forall \{a, b\} \in \mathcal{G}_{1} : \sum_{\boldsymbol{\pi}} G_{ab}(\boldsymbol{\pi}, \boldsymbol{\pi}') G_{ba}(\boldsymbol{\pi}, \boldsymbol{\pi}'') = \delta(\boldsymbol{\pi}', \boldsymbol{\pi}'')$$

$$Z = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\pi}} \prod_{a \in \mathcal{G}_{0}} f_{a}(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{a}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\pi}} \prod_{a \in \mathcal{G}_{0}} \left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{\pi}_{a}'} \left(\prod_{b \sim a} G_{ab}(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{ab}, \boldsymbol{\pi}_{ab}') \right) W^{(a)}(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{a}) \right)$$

Next Step:

Generalize construction (Item #1) to degree> 3 [Item #2 is already generic]

Dimer and Ising Models on Planar Graphs Planar (and surface) graphical models which are det-easy

Easy Planar and Surface Models of arbitrary degree

[MC,VC '09-]

We constructed the family of graphical models of a given planar graph which are det-easy arXiv:0902.0320
 Dirty Planar Details

We generalized this construction to g-surface graphs (graphs embedded into a surface of genus g): Described a family of graphical models defined on a given g-surface graph which are surface-easy = partition function is a sum of 2^{2g} dets

Dirty Surface Details

Selling:

Family of computationally tractable planar and surface graphical models

Buying:

Applications in IT (capacity, decoding) and CS (counting, inference)

http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/Talks/IT/bp&beyond.pdf Belief Propagation & Beyond

Outline

Introduction

- Graphical Models
- Message Passing/ Belief Propagation
- Gauge Transformations & Loop Calculus

2 Planar Graphical Models

- Dimer and Ising Models on Planar Graphs
- Planar (and surface) graphical models which are det-easy

3 The Story of Permanent

- BP for Permanent
- Loop Calculus, Lower and Upper Bounds for Permanent

BP for Permanent Loop Calculus, Lower and Upper Bounds for Permanent

Tracking Particles = Motivational Example



$$\mathcal{L}(\{\sigma\}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = C\left(\{\sigma\}\right) \prod_{(i,j)} \left[P_i^j\left(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}^j|\boldsymbol{\theta}\right)\right]^{\sigma_i^j}$$
$$C\left(\{\sigma\}\right) \equiv \prod_j \delta\left(\sum_i \sigma_i^j, 1\right) \prod_i \delta\left(\sum_j \sigma_i^j, 1\right)$$

Surprising Exactness of BP for ML-assignement

- Exact Polynomial Algorithms (auction, Hungarian) are available for the problem
- Generally BP is exact only on a graph without loops [tree]
- In this [Perfect Matching on Bipartite Graph] case it is still exact in spite of many loops!! [Bayati, Shah, Sharma '08], also Linear Programming/TUM interpretation [MC '08]

Computing permanent of a positive matrix (weighted number of possible matchings) is an important subtask [MC, Kroc, Krzakala, Vergassola, Zdeborova '09]

BP for Permanent Loop Calculus, Lower and Upper Bounds for Permanent

BP for Permanent

The Graphical Model

$$\sigma = (\sigma_i^j = 0, 1 | i, j = 1, \cdots, N \text{ s.t.} \forall i : \sum_j \sigma_i^j = 1 \& \forall j : \sum_i \sigma_i^j = 1)$$
$$\mathcal{P}(\sigma) = \mathcal{P}(\sigma) / Z = (p_i^j)^{\sigma_i^j / T} / Z, \quad Z \equiv \sum_{\sigma} (p_i^j)^{\sigma_i^j / T}$$

Bethe Free Energy

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{BP} &\equiv E - TS, \quad E\{\beta_i^j\} = -\sum_{(i,j)} \beta_i^j \log(p_i^j) \\ S\{\beta_i^j\} &= \sum_{(i,j)} \left((1 - \beta_i^j) \ln(1 - \beta_i^j) - \beta_i^j \ln \beta_i^j \right) \\ \underline{\text{conditions}}: \quad \forall i: \quad \sum_j \beta_i^j = 1; \quad \forall j: \quad \sum_i \beta_i^j = 1 \end{aligned}$$

BP equations

$$\forall (i,j): \quad eta_i^j (1-eta_i^j) = (eta_i^j)^{1/T} \exp\left(\mu_i + \mu^j
ight) \ \forall i: \quad \sum_i eta_i^j = 1; \quad \forall j: \quad \sum_i eta_i^j = 1$$

http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/Talks/IT/bp&beyond.pdf

BP for Permanents (II)

[Y.Watanabe & MC '09]

Example: Homogeneous weight model biased towards a perfect solution

•
$$\Pi_*(i) = i : p_i^j = 1$$
 if $i \neq j$ and $p_i^i = W(W > 1)$

- Looking for solution in the form: $\beta_i^j(T) = \begin{cases} 1 \epsilon(N-1) & \text{:if } i = j \\ \epsilon & \text{:otherwise,} \end{cases}$
- A nontrivial BP solution, $\beta_i^j \neq 0, 1$ for all $(i, j) \in E$, is realized only at $T > T_c = \ln W / \ln(N 1)$.



http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/Talks/IT/bp&beyond.pdf Belief Propagation & Beyond

BP for Permanent Loop Calculus, Lower and Upper Bounds for Permanent

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Three faces of Loop Calculus for Permanent

$$Z = Z_{BP} * z, \quad z \equiv 1 + \sum_{C} r_{C}, \quad r_{C} = \left(\prod_{i \in C} (1 - q_{i})\right) \left(\prod_{j \in C} (1 - q^{j})\right) \prod_{(i,j) \in C} \frac{\beta_{i}^{j}}{1 - \beta_{i}^{j}}$$

$$z = \left. \frac{\partial^{2N} \mathcal{Z}(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_N, \rho^1, \dots, \rho^N)}{\partial \rho_1 \dots \partial \rho_N \partial \rho^1 \dots \partial \rho^N} \right|_{\rho_1 = \dots = \rho_N = \rho^1 \dots = \rho^N = 0} \\ \mathcal{Z}(\rho) \equiv \exp\left(\sum_i \rho_i + \sum_j \rho^j\right) \prod_{(i,j)} \left(1 + \frac{\beta_i^j}{(1 - \beta_i^j)} \exp\left(-\rho_i - \rho^j\right)\right)$$

Main Theorem of [arxiv:0911.1419 Y. Watanabe & MC '09]

$$\begin{aligned} Z = \operatorname{perm}(P) = Z_{BP} * \operatorname{perm}(\beta. * (1 - \beta)) \prod_{(i,j) \in E} (1 - \beta_i^j)^{-1} \\ & \text{where } \beta \text{ is the double-stochastic BP-solution matrix for } P \end{aligned}$$

Upper and Lower Bounds for Permanent

[Y. Watanabe & MC '09]

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Gurvits (2008)-van der Waerden (1926) Theorem

For an arbitrary non-negative $N \times N$ matrix A, perm $(A) \ge \operatorname{cap}(p_A) \frac{N^N}{N!}$, where $p_A(x) = \prod_i \sum_j a_{i,j} x_j$, $\operatorname{cap}(p_A) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N_{>0}} \frac{p_A(x)}{\prod_j x_j}$

Application of the Gurvits-van der Waerden Theorem to the Loop Series yields

- The low bound is invariant wrt BP transformation
- perm $(\beta \cdot * (1 \beta)) \ge \frac{N!}{N^N} \prod_{(i,j) \in E} (1 \beta_i^j)^{\beta_i^j}$

Another (dominating at high temperature) low bound on the Loop Series

$$\mathsf{perm}(eta.*(1-eta)) \geq 2\prod_i eta_i^{\mathsf{\Pi}(i)}(1-eta_i^{\mathsf{\Pi}(i)})$$

Upper Bound following from the Godzil-Gutman representation for permanent

$$\operatorname{perm}(\beta \cdot * (1 - \beta)) \leq \prod_{j} (1 - \sum_{i} (\beta_{i}^{j})^{2})$$

BP for Permanent Loop Calculus, Lower and Upper Bounds for Permanent

Summary of the Permanent Story

Selling:

arxiv:0911.1419

- Threshold behavior of BP solution wrt temperature
- New low and upper bounds for permanent based on Loop Calculus

Buying:

- Improved Algorithm correcting permanent beyond BP
- New Applications for the technique

Example (1): Statistical Physics

Ising model

$$\sigma_i = \pm 1$$

$$\mathcal{P}(\vec{\sigma}) = \mathbf{Z}^{-1} \exp\left(\sum_{(i,j)} J_{ij}\sigma_i\sigma_j\right)$$

 J_{ij} defines the graph (lattice)

Graphical Representation

Variables are usually associated with vertexes ... but transformation to the Forney graph (variables on the edges) is straightforward

- Ferromagnetic $(J_{ij} < 0)$, Anti-ferromagnetic $(J_{ij} > 0)$ and Frustrated/Glassy
- Magnetization (order parameter) and Ground State
- Thermodynamic Limit, $N \to \infty$
- Phase Transitions

Binary Graphical Models

Probabilistic Reconstruction (Statistical Inference)				
$\vec{\sigma}_{\mathrm{orig}}$	\Rightarrow	x	\Rightarrow	$\vec{\sigma}$
original $data \ ec{\sigma}_{ ext{orig}} \in \mathcal{C}$ codeword	noisy channel $\mathcal{P}(ec{x} ec{\sigma})$	corrupted data: log-likelihood magnetic field	statistical inference	possible $ec{\sigma}\in\mathcal{C}$
Maximum Li				nalization
$ML(\vec{x}) = \arg\max_{\vec{\sigma}} \mathcal{P}(\vec{x} \vec{\sigma}) \qquad \qquad \sigma_i^*(\vec{x}) = \arg\max_{\sigma_i} \sum_{\vec{\sigma} \setminus \sigma_i} \mathcal{P}(\vec{x} \vec{\sigma})$				
Counting (Partition Function): $Z(\vec{x}) = \sum_{\vec{\sigma}} \mathcal{P}(\vec{x} \vec{\sigma})$				
A Binary Graphical M	lodels			

http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/Talks/IT/bp&beyond.pdf

Probabilistic Reconstruction (Statistical Inference)				
	\Rightarrow	x	\Rightarrow	$\vec{\sigma}$
original $data \ec{\sigma}_{ m orig} \in \mathcal{C}$ codeword	noisy channel $\mathcal{P}(ec{x} ec{\sigma})$	corrupted data: log-likelihood magnetic field	statistical inference	possible $ec{\sigma} \in \mathcal{C}$
$ML(\vec{x}) = \arg \max_{\vec{\sigma}} \mathcal{P}(\vec{x} \vec{\sigma}) \qquad \qquad \sigma_i^*(\vec{x}) = \arg \max_{\sigma_i} \sum_{\vec{\sigma} \setminus \sigma_i} \mathcal{P}(\vec{x} \vec{\sigma})$				
Counting (Partition Function): $Z(\vec{x}) = \sum_{\vec{\sigma}} \mathcal{P}(\vec{x} \vec{\sigma})$				
 Binary Graphical M 	Nodels			

http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/Talks/IT/bp&beyond.pdf

Probabilistic Reconstruction (Statistical Inference)					
	\Rightarrow	x	\Rightarrow	$\vec{\sigma}$	
original $data$ $ec{\sigma}_{ m orig} \in \mathcal{C}$ codeword	noisy channel $\mathcal{P}(ec{x} ec{\sigma})$	corrupted data: log-likelihood magnetic field	statistical inference	possible $ec{\sigma}\in\mathcal{C}$	
Maximum Li				nalization	
$ML(\vec{x}) = \arg \max_{\vec{\sigma}} \mathcal{P}(\vec{x} \vec{\sigma}) \qquad \qquad \sigma_i^*(\vec{x}) = \arg \max_{\sigma_i} \sum_{\vec{\sigma} \setminus \sigma_i} \mathcal{P}(\vec{x} \vec{\sigma})$					
Counting (Partition Function): $Z(\vec{x}) = \sum_{\vec{\sigma}} \mathcal{P}(\vec{x} \vec{\sigma})$					
A Binary Graphical A	Aodels				

http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/Talks/IT/bp&beyond.pdf

Probabilistic Reconstruction (Statistical Inference)				
	\Rightarrow	x	\Rightarrow	$\vec{\sigma}$
original $data \ ec{\sigma}_{ m orig} \in \mathcal{C}$ codeword	noisy channel $\mathcal{P}(ec{x} ec{\sigma})$	corrupted data: log-likelihood magnetic field	statistical inference	possible \mathbf{p} reimage $\mathbf{ec{\sigma}}\in\mathcal{C}$
Maximum Likelihood (ground store)				
Marginalization				nalization
$ML(\vec{x}) = \arg \max_{\vec{\sigma}} \mathcal{P}(\vec{x} \vec{\sigma}) \qquad \qquad \sigma_i^*(\vec{x}) = \arg \max_{\sigma_i} \sum_{\vec{\sigma} \setminus \sigma_i} \mathcal{P}(\vec{x} \vec{\sigma})$				
Counting (Partition Function): $Z(\vec{x}) = \sum_{\vec{\sigma}} \mathcal{P}(\vec{x} \vec{\sigma})$				

http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/Talks/IT/bp&beyond.pdf Belief Propagation & Beyond

Grassmann (fermion, nilpotent) Calculus for Pfaffians

Grassman (nilpotent) Variables on Vertexes

$$orall (a,b)\in \mathcal{G}_e: \quad heta_a heta_b+ heta_b heta_a=0 \quad \int d heta=0, \quad \int heta d heta=1$$

Pfaffian as a Gaussian Berezin Integral over the Fermions

$$\int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\vec{\theta^{t}}\hat{A}\vec{\theta}\right) d\vec{\theta} = \mathsf{Pf}(\hat{A}) = \sqrt{\mathsf{det}(\hat{A})}$$

Pfaffian Formula

Ice Model [vertexes of max degree 3]

#PL-3-NAE-ICE

[Valiant '02]

- Input: A planar graph G = (V;E) of maximum degree 3.
- Output: The number of orientations (arrows) such that no node has all the edges directed towards it or away from it.

From arrows to binary variables

- Edge {a, b} is broken in two by insertion of a − b vertex
- Introduce binary variables s.t. if $a \rightarrow b \Rightarrow \pi_{a,a-b} = 0, \pi_{b,a-b} = 1$ $b \rightarrow a \Rightarrow \pi_{a,a-b} = 1, \pi_{b,a-b} = 0$

$$\begin{split} Z_{ice} &= \sum_{\pi'} \left(\prod_{a \in \mathcal{G}_0} f_a(\tilde{\pi}_a) \right) \left(\prod_{\substack{\{a,b\} \in \mathcal{G}_1 \\ \{a,b\} \in \mathcal{G}_1}} g_{a-b}(\pi_{a,a-b}, \pi_{b,a-b}) \right) \\ f_a(\pi'_a) &= \begin{cases} 1, & \exists \ b, \ c \in \delta_{\mathcal{G}}(a), & \text{s.t.} & \pi_{a,a-b} \neq \pi_{a,a-c} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ g_{a-b}(\pi'_a) &= \begin{cases} 1, & \pi_{a,a-b} \neq \pi_{b,a-b} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Holographic Gadgets & Gauges

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Ice Model [vertexes of max degree 3] II

General Gauge Transformation

$$\begin{split} f_{a}(\pi_{a}) &\to \tilde{f}_{a}(\pi_{a}) = \sum_{\pi'_{a}} \left(\prod_{b \sim a} G_{ab}(\pi_{ab}, \pi'_{ab}) \right) f_{a}(\pi'_{a}) \\ &\forall \{a, b\} \in \mathcal{G}_{1} : \sum_{\pi} G_{ab}(\pi, \pi') G_{ba}(\pi, \pi'') = \delta(\pi', \pi'') \\ &Z = \sum_{\pi} \prod_{a \in \mathcal{G}_{0}} \tilde{f}_{a}(\pi_{a}) = \sum_{\pi} \prod_{a \in \mathcal{G}_{0}} \left(\sum_{\pi'_{a}} \left(\prod_{b \sim a} G_{ab}(\pi_{ab}, \pi'_{ab}) \right) f_{a}(\pi_{a}) \right) \end{split}$$

Gauge Transformation for the Ice model

$$\begin{split} G_{a,a-b}^{(ice)} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \tilde{g}_{a-b}(\pi'_{a}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \pi_{a,a-b} = \pi_{b,a-b} = 0 \\ -1, & \pi_{a,a-b} = \pi_{b,a-b} = 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \tilde{f}_{a}(\pi_{a,a-1}, \pi_{a,a-2}, \pi_{a,a-3}) &= \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} * \begin{cases} 1, & \pi_{a,a-1} = \pi_{a,a-2} = \pi_{a,a-3} = 0 \\ -1/3, & \sum_{i} \pi_{a,a-i} = 2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Holographic Gadgets & Gauges

http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/Talks/IT/bp&beyond.pdf Belief Propagation & Beyond

Edge Binary Wick (EBW) Models





http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/Talks/IT/bp&beyond.pdf Belief Propagation & Beyond

Edge Binary Wick Models (II)

Known Easy Planar Graphical Models & EBW

 \exists a gauge transformation reducing any easy planar model to a EBW

- Dimer Model
- Ising Model
- Ice Model
- Possibly all models discussed in the "holographic" papers

Any EBW model on a planar graph is EASY

• Equivalent to Gaussian Grassman Models on the same graph

• Partition function is Pfaffian of a $|\mathcal{G}_1| \times |\mathcal{G}_1|$ matrix

Related Grassmann/Fermion Models

Vertex Gaussian Grassmann Graphical (VG³) Models

$$Z_{\mathsf{VG}^3}(\varsigma,\sigma;\mathsf{W}) = \frac{\int \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{(b\to a\to c)\in\mathcal{G}_1}\varphi_{ab}\varsigma_{bc}^{(a)}W_{bc}^{(a)}\varphi_{ac}\right)\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{(a,b)\in\mathcal{G}_1}\varphi_{ab}\sigma_{ab}\varphi_{ba}\right)\prod_{(a,b)}d\varphi_{ab}}{\int \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{(a,b)\in\mathcal{G}_1}\varphi_{ab}\sigma_{ab}\varphi_{ba}\right)\prod_{(a,b)}d\varphi_{ab}}$$
$$= \frac{\mathsf{Pf}(H(\varsigma,\sigma;\mathsf{W}))}{\mathsf{Pf}(H(\varsigma,\sigma;\mathsf{0}))}, \qquad H_{ij} = \begin{cases} \varsigma_{bc}^{(a)}W_{bc}^{(a)}, & i = (a,b) \& j = (a,c), \text{ where } b \neq c \sim a, \\ \sigma_{ab}, & i = (a,b), \& j = (b,a). \end{cases}$$

Grassmann (anti-commuting) variables: $\forall (a, b), (c, d) \in \mathcal{G}_1 \quad \varphi_{ab}\varphi_{cd} = -\varphi_{cd}\varphi_{ab}$ Berezin (formal) integration rules: $\forall (a, b) \in \mathcal{G}_1 : \int d\varphi_{ab} = 0, \quad \int \varphi_{ab} d\varphi_{ab} = 1$

Main Theorem of [Chernyak, MC '09/planar]

• $\exists \sigma, \varsigma = \pm 1$: s.t. $Z_{VG^3}(\varsigma, \sigma; W) = Z_{EBW}(W)$

 The special configuration of σ, ς corresponds to Kastelyan (spinor) orientation on the extended planar graph

Dimer Model on Surface Graphs (I)

Partition function of dimer model on a surface graph of genus g is expressed in terms of a (± 1) -weighted sum over 2^{2g} determinants = surface-easy

- Kasteleyn '63;'67 non-constructive (??) conjecture
- Gallucio, Loebl '99 first [combinatorial] proof
- Cimasoni, Reshetikhin '07 topological proof and relation to gauge fermion models



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Dimer Model on Surface Graphs (II)

Partition Function of Dimer Model, $\pi_{ij}=0,1$, on a surface graph ${\cal G}$

$$Z(\mathcal{G}; \mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\vec{\pi}}^{\text{dimers}} \prod_{(i,j) \in \Gamma} (z_{ij})^{\pi_{ij}}$$

Theorem: (formulation of Cimasoni, Reshetikhin)

$$Z(\mathcal{G}; \mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{2^{g}} \sum_{[\mathbf{s}]} \underbrace{\operatorname{Arf}(q_{\pi_{0}}^{\mathbf{s}}) \varepsilon^{\mathbf{s}}(\pi_{0})}_{=\pm 1; \quad \pi_{0}-\text{independent}; \quad \text{depends only on } [\mathbf{s}]} \operatorname{Pf}(A^{\mathbf{s}}(z))$$

- π₀ is a reference dimer configuration
- $\bullet~$ s is a Kasteleyn orientation; [s] equivalence classes of the Kasteleyn orientations, 2^{2g} of them
- $\varepsilon^{s}(\pi) = \pm 1$ defines total signature of the dimer configuration π wrt the Kasteleyn orientation s
- q^s_{π0}(α) is a well-defined quadratic form associated with s, π₀ and α is a closed curve on G; Arf(q^s_{π0}) is the Arf-invariant of the quadratic form.

Dimer Model on Surface Graphs (III)

[Cimasoni, Reshetikhin]

$$Z(\mathcal{G}; \mathbf{z}) = rac{1}{2^g} \sum_{[\mathbf{s}]} \mathsf{Arf}(q^{\mathbf{s}}_{\pi_0}) \varepsilon^{\mathbf{s}}(\pi_0) \mathsf{Pf}(A^{\mathbf{s}}(z))$$

- the sum over determinants can be transformed into the sum over partition functions of Kasteleyn-fermion models
- Kasteleyn orientation is a discrete version of spin(or) structures [from topological field theories]
- Powerful derivation techniques from topology [homology and immersion theories]

Generic graphical model on a surface graph is SURFACE-DIFFICULT

Our next task is:

To classify graphical models which are SURFACE-EASY

http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/Talks/IT/bp&beyond.pdf Belief Propagation & Beyond

Edge-Binary-Wick (EBW) Models and Vertex Gaussian Grassman Graphical (VG³) models on Surface Graphs

Main Theorem of [Chernyak, MC '09/surface]

$$Z_{EBW}({f W})Z_{EBW}({f 1}) = \sum_{[{f s}]} Z_{VG^3}([{f s}];{f 1})Z_{VG^3}([{f s}];{f W})$$
 where

- s = (σ; ς) corresponds to a Kastelyan/spinor orientation defined on extended graph
- [s] are equivalence classes (2^{2g} of them) of the Kastelyan/spinor s orientations



◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

EBW and VG³ models on Surface Graphs (II)

$Z_{EBW}(\mathbf{W})Z_{EBW}(\mathbf{1}) = \sum_{[\mathbf{s}]} Z_{VG^3}([\mathbf{s}];\mathbf{1})Z_{VG^3}([\mathbf{s}];\mathbf{W})$

The multi-step proof of the main surface theorem includes

- Extended/fat graph construction and partitioning $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ of the even generalized loop γ configurations into closed curves [Wick structure]
- Analysis and relation between invariant objects (quadratic forms) for the generalized loops, [\gamma], and spinors, [s], defined on fat graphs and respective Riemann surfaces.
- Term by term comparison of the relation between the partial $\tilde{Z}_{EBW}([\gamma]; \mathbf{W})$ and $\tilde{Z}_{VG^3}([\gamma], [\mathbf{s}]; \mathbf{W})$, where $Z_{EBW}(\mathbf{W}) = \sum_{[\gamma]} \tilde{Z}_{EBW}([\gamma]; \mathbf{W})$ and $Z_{VG^3}([\mathbf{s}]; \mathbf{W}) = \sum_{[\gamma]} \tilde{Z}_{VG^3}([\gamma], [\mathbf{s}]; \mathbf{W})$. This results in the system of 2^{2g} linear equations for 2^{2g} unknowns $\tilde{Z}_{EBW}([\gamma]; \mathbf{W})$.
- Solving the linear equations we recover the main statement of the theorem.
- $2^{g} Z_{VG^{3}}([s]; 1) = \operatorname{Arf}(q([s]))Z_{EBW}(1)$, where $q(s)(\gamma) = q([s])([\gamma])$ is a well-defined quadratic form.

Main "take home" message

Q:

Describe the family of surface-easy edge-binary models on an arbitrary surface graph \mathcal{G} (partition function is reducible to a sum of 2^{2g} Pfaffians)

A: [constructive]

- Generate an arbitrary Vertex Gaussian Grassmann binary-Gauge (VG³) Model on the graph
- Fix the binary-gauge according to the Kasteleyn (spinor) rule on the extended graph
- Construct respective Edge-Binary Wick model on the original graph
- Apply an arbitrary skew-orthogonal (holographic) gauge/transformation

The partition function of the resulting model is the sum of $2^{2g} \pm$ -weighted Pfaffians. [All terms in the sum are explicitly known.]

Where do we go from here?

Future work

- Use the described hierarchy of easy planar models as a basis for efficient variational approximation of generic (difficult) planar problems. (The approach may also be useful for building efficient variational matrix-product state wave functions for quantum models. Dynamical Bayesian Networks: 1+1, tree+1,)
- Study Wick Gaussian models on non-planar but <u>Pfaffian orientable</u> or k-Pfaffian orientable graphs (where any dimer model on surface graph of genus g is 2^{2g}-Pfaffian orientable).
- Almost Planar = Geographical Graphical Models, Renormalization Group, Generalized BP
- Analogs of all of the above for Surface-Difficult Problems