

Optimization & Control Theory for Smart Grids

Michael (Misha) Chertkov

Center for Nonlinear Studies & Theory Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory & New Mexico Consortium

LANL IS&T Capability Review, Apr 14, 2011

Predicting Failures (Static Overloads) in Power Grids Control of Reactive Flows in Distribution Networks An Optimization Approach to Design of Transmission Grids

Outline



- So what?
- Smart Grid Project (LDRD DR) at LANL
- Preliminary Technical Remarks. Scales.
- Technical Intro: Power Flows
- 2 Predicting Failures (Static Overloads) in Power Grids
 - Model of Load Shedding
 - Error Surface & Instantons
 - Instantons for Wind Generation
- 3 Control of Reactive Flows in Distribution Networks
 - Losses vs Quality of Voltage
 - Control & Compromises
- 4 An Optimization Approach to Design of Transmission Grids
 - Motivational Example
 - Network Optimization

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > 三日 のへで

Predicting Failures (Static Overloads) in Power Grids Control of Reactive Flows in Distribution Networks An Optimization Approach to Design of Transmission Grids So what?

Smart Grid Project (LDRD DR) at LANL Preliminary Technical Remarks. Scales. Technical Intro: Power Flows

So What? Impact! Savings!

- 30*b*\$ annually is the cost of power losses
- 10% efficiency improvement 3b\$ savings
- cost of 2003 blackout is 7 10b\$
- 80*b*\$ is the total cost of blackouts annually in US
- further challenges (more vulnerable, cost of not doing planning, control, mitigation)

Grid is being redesigned [stimulus]

- The research is timely: $\sim 2T$ \$ in 20 years (at least) in US
- Renewables Desirable but difficult to handle
- Integration within itself, but also with Other Infrastructures, e.g. Transportation (Electric Vehicles)
- Tons of Interesting (Challenging) Research Problems !

Predicting Failures (Static Overloads) in Power Grids Control of Reactive Flows in Distribution Networks An Optimization Approach to Design of Transmission Grids So what? Smart Grid Project (LDRD DR) at LANL Preliminary Technical Remarks. Scales. Technical Intro: Power Flows



New Challenges

All of the above also requires scientific advances in

- Analysis & Control
- Stability/Reliability Metrics
- State Estimation

- Data Aggregation & Assimilation
- · Middleware for the Grid
- Modeling Consumer Response

Michael (Misha) Chertkov – chertkov@lanl.gov

http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/SmarterGrids

Predicting Failures (Static Overloads) in Power Grids Control of Reactive Flows in Distribution Networks An Optimization Approach to Design of Transmission Grids So what? Smart Grid Project (LDRD DR) at LANL Preliminary Technical Remarks. Scales. Technical Intro: Power Flows



Michael (Misha) Chertkov – chertkov@lanl.gov

http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/SmarterGrids/

Predicting Failures (Static Overloads) in Power Grids Control of Reactive Flows in Distribution Networks An Optimization Approach to Design of Transmission Grids So what? Smart Grid Project (LDRD DR) at LANL Preliminary Technical Remarks. Scales. Technical Intro: Power Flows



Michael (Misha) Chertkov - chertkov@lanl.gov

ttp://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/SmarterGrids/

Predicting Failures (Static Overloads) in Power Grids Control of Reactive Flows in Distribution Networks An Optimization Approach to Design of Transmission Grids So what? Smart Grid Project (LDRD DR) at LANL Preliminary Technical Remarks. Scales. Technical Intro: Power Flows



US power grid

The greatest Engineering Achievement of the 20th century

will require smart revolution in the 21st century

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > 三日 のへで

Michael (Misha) Chertkov – chertkov@lanl.gov

http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/SmarterGrids/

Predicting Failures (Static Overloads) in Power Grids Control of Reactive Flows in Distribution Networks An Optimization Approach to Design of Transmission Grids So what? Smart Grid Project (LDRD DR) at LANL Preliminary Technical Remarks. Scales. Technical Intro: Power Flows

Preliminary Remarks

The power grid operates according to the laws of electrodynamics

- Transmission Grid (high voltage) vs Distribution Grid (low voltage)
- Alternating Current (AC) flows ... but DC flow is often a valid approximation
- No waiting periods ⇒ power constraints should be satisfied immediately. Many Scales.
- Loads and Generators are players of two types (distributed renewable will change the paradigm)
- At least some generators are adjustable to guarantee that at each moment of time the total generation meets the total load
- The grid is a graph ... but constraints are (graph-) global

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > 三目目 のへの

Predicting Failures (Static Overloads) in Power Grids Control of Reactive Flows in Distribution Networks An Optimization Approach to Design of Transmission Grids

Many Scales Involved

Power & Voltage

• 1*KW* - typical household; $10^3 KW = 1MW$ - consumption of a medium-to-large residential, commercial building; $10^6 KW = 1 GW$ -large unit of a Nuclear Power plant (30*GW* is the installed wind capacity of Germany =8% of total, US wind penetration is 5%- [30% by 2030?]); $10^9 KW = 1 TW$ - US capacity (~ 5% of the World)

• Transmission - 4 – 13KV. Distribution - 100 – 1000KV.

Spatial Scales

• $1mm - 10^3 km$; US grid = $3 * 10^6 km$ lines (operated by ~ 500 companies)

Temporal Scales

- 17ms -AC (60Hz) period, target for Phasor Measurement Units sampling rate (10-30 measurements per second)
- ullet 1s electro-mechanical wave [motors induced] propagates $\sim 500 km$
- 2-10s SCADA delivers measurements to control units
- $\sim 1 \text{ min}$ loads change, wind ramps, etc (toughest scale to control)
- 5-15min state estimations (for markets) are made
- up to hours maturing of a cascading outage over a large grid.

Michael (Misha) Chertkov - chertkov@lanl.gov

So what? Smart Grid Project (LDRD DR) at LANL Preliminary Technical Remarks. Scales. Technical Intro: Power Flows

200

Predicting Failures (Static Overloads) in Power Grids Control of Reactive Flows in Distribution Networks An Optimization Approach to Design of Transmission Grids So what? Smart Grid Project (LDRD DR) at LANL Preliminary Technical Remarks. Scales. Technical Intro: Power Flows

Basic AC Power Flow Equations (Static)

The Kirchhoff Laws

$$\begin{array}{l} \forall a \in \mathcal{G}_{0} : \qquad \sum_{b \sim a} J_{ab} = J_{a} \text{ for currents} \\ \forall (a, b) \in \mathcal{G}_{1} : \qquad J_{ab} z_{ab} = V_{a} - V_{b} \text{ for potentials} \\ \Rightarrow \forall (a, b) \in \mathcal{G}_{1} : \qquad J_{a} = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{G}_{0}} Y_{ab} V_{b} \\ \hat{Y} = (Y_{ab}|a, b \in \mathcal{G}_{0}), \quad \forall \{a, b\} : \quad Y_{ab} = \begin{cases} 0, & a \neq b, a \neq b, \\ -y_{ab}, & a \neq b, a \neq b, \\ \sum_{c \neq a}^{c \sim a} y_{ac}, & a = b. \end{cases} \\ \forall \{a, b\} : \qquad y_{ab} = g_{ab} + i\beta_{ab} = (z_{ab})^{-1}, \qquad z_{ab} = r_{ab} + x_{ab} \end{cases}$$

Complex Power Flows [balance of power]

$$\forall a \in \mathcal{G}_0 : \quad P_a = p_a + iq_a = V_a J_a^* = V_a \sum_{b \sim a} J_{ab}^* = V_a \sum_{b \sim a} \frac{V_a^* - V_b^*}{z_{ab}^*}$$
$$= \sum_{b \sim a} \frac{\exp(2\rho_a) - \exp(\rho_a + \rho_b + i\theta_a - i\theta_b)}{z_{ab}^*}$$

- Flows on graphs, but very different from transportation networks
- Nonlinear in term of Real and Reactive powers
- Reactive Power needs to be injected to maintain reasonably stable voltage
- Quasi-static (transients may be relevant on the scale of seconds)
- Different (injection/consumption/control) conditions on generators (p, V) and loads (p, q)
- (θ, ρ) are conjugated (Lagrangian multipliers) to (p, q)

Predicting Failures (Static Overloads) in Power Grids Control of Reactive Flows in Distribution Networks An Optimization Approach to Design of Transmission Grids So what? Smart Grid Project (LDRD DR) at LANL Preliminary Technical Remarks. Scales. Technical Intro: Power Flows

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

DC approximation (for AC power flows)

- (0) The amplitude of the complex potentials are all fixed to the same number (unity, after trivial re-scaling): $\forall a : \rho_a = 0$.
- (1) $\forall \{a, b\}: |\theta_a \theta_b| \ll 1$ phase variation between any two neighbors on the graph is small
- (2) ∀{a, b}: r_{ab} ≪ x_{ab} resistive (real) part of the impedance is much smaller than its reactive (imaginary) part. Typical values for the r/x is in the 1/27 ÷ 1/2 range.

It leads to

- Linear relation between powers and phases (at the nodes): $\forall a \in \mathcal{G}_0: \quad p_a = \sum_{b \sim a} \frac{\theta_a - \theta_b}{\chi_{+b}}$
- Losses of real power are zero in the network (in the leading order) $\sum_{a} p_{a} = 0$
- Reactive power needs to be injected (lines are inductances only consume reactive power)

Model of Load Shedding Error Surface & Instantons Instantons for Wind Generation

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Our Publications on Grid Stability

- 21. M. Chertkov, M. Stepanov, F. Pan, and R. Baldick, Exact and Efficient Algorithm to Discover Stochastic Contingencies in Wind Generation over Transmission Power Grids, invited session on Smart Grid Integration of Renewable Energy: Failure analysis, Microgrids, and Estimation at CDC/ECC 2011.
- 16. P. van Hentenryck, C. Coffrin, and R. Bent , Vehicle Routing for the Last Mile of Power System Restoration, submitted to PSCC.
- 15. R. Pfitzner, K. Turitsyn, and M. Chertkov, Statistical Classification of Cascading Failures in Power Grids, arxiv:1012.0815, accepted for IEEE PES 2011.
- 14. S. Kadloor and N. Santhi , Understanding Cascading Failures in Power Grids , arxiv:1011.4098 submitted to IEEE Transactions on Smart Grids.
- 13. N. Santhi and F. Pan, Detecting and mitigating abnormal events in large scale networks: budget constrained placement on smart grids, proceedings of HICSS44, Jan 2011.
- 8. M. Chertkov, F. Pan and M. Stepanov, Predicting Failures in Power Grids, arXiv:1006.0671, IEEE Transactions on Smart Grids 2, 150 (2010).

Model of Load Shedding

Error Surface & Instantons

Instantons for Wind Generation

Predicting Failures (Static Overloads) in Power Grids Control of Reactive Flows in Distribution Networks An Optimization Approach to Design of Transmission Grids

Outline

Introduction

- So what?
- Smart Grid Project (LDRD DR) at LANL
- Preliminary Technical Remarks. Scales.
- Technical Intro: Power Flows
- 2 Predicting Failures (Static Overloads) in Power Grids
 - Model of Load Shedding
 - Error Surface & Instantons
 - Instantons for Wind Generation
- 3 Control of Reactive Flows in Distribution Networks
 - Losses vs Quality of Voltage
 - Control & Compromises

4 An Optimization Approach to Design of Transmission Grids

- Motivational Example
- Network Optimization

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Model of Load Shedding Error Surface & Instantons Instantons for Wind Generation

MC, F. Pan (LANL) and M. Stepanov (UA Tucson)

 Predicting Failures in Power Grids: The Case of Static Overloads, IEEE Transactions on Smart Grids 2, 150 (2010).



MC, FP, MS & R. Baldick (UT Austin)

 Exact and Efficient Algorithm to Discover Extreme Stochastic Events in Wind Generation over Transmission Power Grids, invited session on Smart Grid Integration of Renewable Energy at CDC/ECC 2011.



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Model of Load Shedding Error Surface & Instantons Instantons for Wind Generation

- Normally the grid is ok (SAT) ... but sometimes failures (UNSAT) happens
- How to estimate a probability of a failure?
- How to predict (anticipate and hopefully) prevent the system from going towards a failure?
- Phase space of possibilities is huge (finding the needle in the haystack)





You were right: There's a needle in this haystack...



Michael (Misha) Chertkov – chertkov@lanl.gov

http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/SmarterGrids/

Model of Load Shedding Error Surface & Instantons Instantons for Wind Generation

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Model of Load Shedding [MC, F.Pan & M.Stepanov '10]

Minimize Load Shedding = Linear Programming for DC

$$LP_{DC}(\mathbf{d}|\mathcal{G}; \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{u}; \mathbf{P}) = \min_{\mathbf{f}, \varphi, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{s}} \left(\sum_{a \in \mathcal{G}_d} s_a \right)_{COND(\mathbf{f}, \varphi, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{s}|\mathcal{G}; \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{u}; \mathbf{P})}$$

$$COND = COND_{flow} \cup COND_{DC} \cup COND_{edge} \cup COND_{power} \cup COND_{over}$$

$$COND_{flow} = \left(\forall a: \sum_{b \sim a} f_{ab} = \begin{cases} p_a, & a \in \mathcal{G}_p \\ -d_a + s_a, & a \in \mathcal{G}_d \\ 0, & a \in \mathcal{G}_0 \setminus (\mathcal{G}_p \cup \mathcal{G}_d) \end{cases} \right)$$

$$COND_{DC} = \left(\forall \{a, b\}: \varphi_a - \varphi_b + x_{ab}f_{ab} = 0 \right), \quad COND_{edge} = \left(\forall \{a, b\}: -u_{ab} \leq f_{ab} \leq u_{ab} \right)$$

$$COND_{power} = \left(\forall a: 0 \leq p_a \leq P_a \right), \quad COND_{over} = \left(\forall a: 0 \leq s_a \leq d_a \right)$$

 φ -phases; f -power flows through edges; x - inductances of edges

Model of Load Shedding Error Surface & Instantons Instantons for Wind Generation

SAT/UNSAT & Error Surface

Statistics of Loads

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{d}|\mathbf{D}; c) \propto \exp\left(-rac{1}{2c}\sum_{i}rac{(d_i-D_i)^2}{D_i^2}
ight)$$

D is the normal operational position in the space of demands

Instantons (special instances of demands from the error surface)

- Points on the error-surface maximizing P(d|D; c) locally!
- $\arg \max_{\mathbf{d}} \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{d})|_{LP_{DC}(\mathbf{d})>0}$ most probable instanton
- The maximization is not concave (multiple instantons)

No Shedding (SAT) - Boundary - Shedding (UNSAT) = Error Surface

The task: to find the most probable failure modes [instantons]

Michael (Misha) Chertkov – chertkov@lanl.gov

http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/SmarterGrids/

Model of Load Shedding Error Surface & Instantons Instantons for Wind Generation

Instanton Search Algorithm [Sampling]

Borrowed (with modifications) from Error-Correction studies: analysis of error-floor [MC, M.Stepanov, et al '04-'10]

- Construct $Q(\mathbf{d}) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{d}), & LP_{DC}(\mathbf{d}) > 0 \\ 0, & LP_{DC}(\mathbf{d}) = 0 \end{cases}$
- Generate a simplex (N+1 points) of UNSAT points
- Use Amoeba-Simplex [Numerical Recepies] to maximize $Q(\mathbf{d})$
- Repeat multiple times (sampling the space of instantons)



http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/SmarterGrids/

Model of Load Shedding Error Surface & Instantons Instantons for Wind Generation

Example of Guam

[MC, F.Pan & M.Stepanov '10]





- The instantons are sparse (localized on troubled nodes)
- The troubled nodes are repetitive in multiple-instantons
- Instanton structure is not sensitive to small changes in D and statistics of demands

http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/SmarterGrids/

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Model of Load Shedding Error Surface & Instantons Instantons for Wind Generation

Example of IEEE RTS96 system [MC

[MC, F.Pan & M.Stepanov '10]

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □



- The troubled nodes and structures are repetitive in multiple-instantons
- Instanton structure is not sensitive to small changes in **D** and statistics of demands

tanton

Model of Load Shedding Error Surface & Instantons Instantons for Wind Generation

Triangular Example (illustrating a "paradox")



- lowering demand may be troublesome [SAT -¿ UNSAT]
- develops when a cycle contains a weak link
- similar observation was made in other contexts before, e.g. by S. Oren and co-authors
- the problem is typical in real examples
- consider "fixing it with extra storage [future project]

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Model of Load Shedding Error Surface & Instantons Instantons for Wind Generation

Instantons for Wind Generation

Setting

- Renewables is the source of fluctuations
- Loads are fixed (5 min scale)
- Standard generation is adjusted according to a droop control (low-parametric, linear)

Results

- The instanton algorithm discovers most probable extreme statistics events
- The algorithm is EXACT and EFFICIENT (polynomial)
- Illustrate utility and performance on IEEE RTS-96 example extended with additions of 10%, 20% and 30% of renewable generation.

Model of Load Shedding Error Surface & Instantons Instantons for Wind Generation

Simulations: IEEE RTS-96 + renewables

10% of penetration localization, long correlations

20% of penetration worst damage, leading instanton is delocalized

30% of penetration spreading and diversifying decreases the damage, instantons are localized





http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/SmarterGrids/

Model of Load Shedding Error Surface & Instantons Instantons for Wind Generation

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Path Forward (for predicting failures)

Path Forward

- Many large-scale practical tests, e.g. ERCOT wind integration
- The instanton-amoeba allows upgrade to other (than *LP_{DC}*) network stability testers, e.g. for AC flows and transients
- Instanton-search can be accelerated, utilizing LP-structure of the tester (exact & efficient for example of renewables)
- This is an important first step towards exploration of "next level" problems in power grid, e.g. on interdiction [Bienstock et. al '09], optimal switching [Oren et al '08], cascading outages [Dobson et al '06], and control of the extreme [outages] [Ilic et al '05]

Predicting Failures (Static Overloads) in Power Grids Control of Reactive Flows in Distribution Networks An Optimization Approach to Design of Transmission Grids Losses vs Quality of Voltage Control & Compromises

Our Publications on Grid Control

- 20. K. Turitsyn, S. Backhaus, M. Ananyev and M. Chertkov, Smart Finite State Devices: A Modeling Framework for Demand Response Technologies, invited session on Demand Response at CDC/ECC 2011.
- 19. S. Kundu, N. Sinitsyn, S. Backhaus, and I. Hiskens, Modeling and control of thermostatically controlled loads, submitted to 17th Power Systems Computation Conference 2011, arXiv:1101.2157.
- 16. P. van Hentenryck, C. Coffrin, and R. Bent, Vehicle Routing for the Last Mile of Power System Restoration, submitted to PSCC.
- 12. P. Sulc, K. Turitsyn, S. Backhaus and M. Chertkov, Options for Control of Reactive Power by Distributed Photovoltaic Generators, arXiv:1008.0878, to appear in Proceedings of the IEEE, special issue on Smart Grid (2011).
- 11. F. Pan, R. Bent, A. Berscheid, and D. Izrealevitz, Locating PHEV Exchange Stations in V2G, arXiv:1006.0473, IEEE SmartGridComm 2010
- 10. K. S. Turitsyn, N. Sinitsyn, S. Backhaus, and M. Chertkov, Robust Broadcast-Communication Control of Electric Vehicle Charging, arXiv:1006.0165, IEEE SmartGridComm 2010
- 9. K. S. Turitsyn, P. Sulc, S. Backhaus, and M. Chertkov, Local Control of Reactive Power by Distributed Photovoltaic Generators, arXiv:1006.0160, IEEE SmartGridComm 2010
- 7. K. S. Turitsyn, Statistics of voltage drop in radial distribution circuits: a dynamic programming approach, arXiv:1006.0158, accepted to IEEE SIBIRCON 2010
- 5. K. Turitsyn, P. Sulc, S. Backhaus and M. Chertkov, Distributed control of reactive power flow in a radial distribution circuit with high photovoltaic penetration, arxiv:0912.3281, selected for super-session at IEEE PES General Meeting 2010.
- 2. L. Zdeborova, S. Backhaus and M. Chertkov, Message Passing for Integrating and Assessing Renewable Generation in a Redundant Power Grid, presented at HICSS-43, Jan. 2010, arXiv:0909.2358
- 1. L. Zdeborova, A. Decelle and M. Chertkov, Message Passing for Optimization and Control of Power Grid: Toy Model of Distribution with Ancillary Lines, arXiv:0904.0477, Phys. Rev. E 80, 046112 (2009)

Losses vs Quality of Voltage

Control & Compromises

Predicting Failures (Static Overloads) in Power Grids Control of Reactive Flows in Distribution Networks An Optimization Approach to Design of Transmission Grids

Outline

Introduction

- So what?
- Smart Grid Project (LDRD DR) at LANL
- Preliminary Technical Remarks. Scales.
- Technical Intro: Power Flows
- 2 Predicting Failures (Static Overloads) in Power Grids
 - Model of Load Shedding
 - Error Surface & Instantons
 - Instantons for Wind Generation
- 3 Control of Reactive Flows in Distribution Networks
 - Losses vs Quality of Voltage
 - Control & Compromises

4 An Optimization Approach to Design of Transmission Grids

- Motivational Example
- Network Optimization

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

K. Turitsyn (MIT), P. Sulc (NMC), S. Backhaus and M.C.

- Optimization of Reactive Power by Distributed Photovoltaic Generators, to appear in Proceedings of the IEEE, special issue on Smart Grid (2011), http://arxiv.org/abs/1008.0878
- Local Control of Reactive Power by Distributed Photovoltaic Generators, proceedings of IEEE SmartGridComm 2010, http://arxiv.org/abs/1006.0160
- Distributed control of reactive power flow in a radial distribution circuit with high photovoltaic penetration, IEEE PES General Meeting 2010 (invited to a super-session), http://arxiv.org/abs/0912.3281



Setting & Question & Idea

- Distribution Grid (old rules, e.g. voltage is controlled only at the point of entrance)
- Significant Penetration of Photovoltaic (new reality)
- How to control swinging/fluctuating voltage (reactive power)?



Michael (Misha) Chertkov – chertkov@lanl.gov

Losses vs Quality of Voltage Control & Compromises





Michael (Misha) Chertkov – chertkov@lanl.gov http://cnls

http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/SmarterGrids/



Schemes of Control

- Base line (do nothing) $q_j^s = 0$
- Unity power factor $q_j^s = q_j^c F^{(L)}$
- Proportional Control (EPRI white paper)



• voltage control heuristics $q_{j}^{g} = q_{j}^{c} + \frac{r_{j}}{x_{j}} (p_{j}^{c} - p_{j}^{g})$ • composite control $q_{j}^{g} = Kq_{j}^{c} + (1 - K)[q_{j}^{c} + \frac{r_{j}}{x_{j}} (p_{j}^{c} - p_{j}^{g})]$ $= KF_{i}^{(L)} + (1 - K)F_{i}^{(V)}$

•Hybrid (composite at V=1 built in proportional)

$$\begin{split} q_j^s &= F_j(K) + (q_j^{\max} - F_j(K)) \Biggl(1 - \frac{2}{1 + \exp(-4(V_j - 1)/\delta)} \Biggr) \\ F_j(K) &= Constr_j \Bigl(KF_j^{(L)} + (1 - K)F_j^{(V)} \Bigr) \\ Constr_j[q] &= \begin{cases} q, & |q| \leq q_j^{max} \\ (q/|q|)q_j^{max}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Prototypical distribution circuit: case study

Import—Heavy cloud cover

- p^c = uniformly distributed 0-2.5 kW
- q^c = uniformly distributed 0.2p^c-0.3p^c
- p^g = 0 kW
- Average <u>import</u> per node = 1.25 kW

Export—Full sun

- p^c = uniformly distributed 0-1.0 kW
- q^c = uniformly distributed 0.2p^c-0.3p^c
- p^g = 2.0 kW
- Average <u>export</u> per node = 0.5 kW

- V₀=7.2 kV line-to-neutral
- n=250 nodes
- Distance between nodes = 200 meters
- Line impedance = 0.33 + i 0.38 Ω/km
- 50% of nodes are PV-enabled with 2 kW maximum generation
- Inverter capacity s=2.2 kVA 10% excess capacity

Measures of control performance

- δV—maximum voltage deviation in transition from export to import
- Average of import and export circuit dissipation relative to "Do Nothing-Base Case"

Performance of different control schemes



Hybrid scheme

- Leverage nodes that already have V_j~1.0 p.u. for loss minimization
- Provides voltage regulation and loss reduction
- K allows for trade between loss and voltage regulation
 - Scaling factor provides related trades

Conclusions:

- In high PV penetration distribution circuits where difficult transient conditions will occur, adequate voltage regulation and reduction in circuit dissipation can be achieved by:
 - · Local control of PV-inverter reactive generation (as opposed to centralized control)
 - Moderately oversized PV-inverter capacity (s~1.1 p^{g,max})
- Using voltage as the only input variable to the control may lead to increased average circuit dissipation
 - Other inputs should be considered such as p^c, q^c, and p^g.
 - Blending of schemes that focus on voltage regulation or loss reduction into a hybrid control shows improved performance and allows for simple tuning of the control to different conditions.
- Equitable division of reactive generation duty and adequate voltage regulation will be difficult to ensure simultaneously.
 - Cap reactive generation capability by enforcing artificial limit given by s~1.1 p^{g,max}

Predicting Failures (Static Overloads) in Power Grids Control of Reactive Flows in Distribution Networks An Optimization Approach to Design of Transmission Grids Motivational Example Network Optimization

Our Publications on Grid Planning

- 18. R. Bent, A. Berscheid, and L. Toole, Generation and Transmission Expansion Planning for Renewable Energy Integration, submitted to Power Systems Computation Conference (PSCC).
- 17. R. Bent and W.B. Daniel , Randomized Discrepancy Bounded Local Search for Transmission Expansion Planning, accepted for IEEE PES 2011.
- 11. F. Pan, R. Bent, A. Berscheid, and D. Izrealevitz, Locating PHEV Exchange Stations in V2G, arXiv:1006.0473, IEEE SmartGridComm 2010
- 6. J. Johnson and M. Chertkov, A Majorization-Minimization Approach to Design of Power Transmission Networks, arXiv:1004.2285, 49th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (2010).
- 4. R. Bent, A. Berscheid, and G. Loren Toole, Transmission Network Expansion Planning with Simulation Optimization, Proceedings of the Twenty-Fourth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI 2010), July 2010, Atlanta, Georgia.
- 3. L. Toole, M. Fair, A. Berscheid, and R. Bent, Electric Power Transmission Network Design for Wind Generation in the Western United States: Algorithms, Methodology, and Analysis, Proceedings of the 2010 IEEE Power Engineering Society Transmission and Distribution Conference and Exposition (IEEE TD 2010), April 2010, New Orleans, Louisiana.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Predicting Failures (Static Overloads) in Power Grids Control of Reactive Flows in Distribution Networks An Optimization Approach to Design of Transmission Grids Motivational Example Network Optimization

Outline

Introduction

- So what?
- Smart Grid Project (LDRD DR) at LANL
- Preliminary Technical Remarks. Scales.
- Technical Intro: Power Flows
- Predicting Failures (Static Overloads) in Power Grids
 - Model of Load Shedding
 - Error Surface & Instantons
 - Instantons for Wind Generation
- 3 Control of Reactive Flows in Distribution Networks
 - Losses vs Quality of Voltage
 - Control & Compromises

4 An Optimization Approach to Design of Transmission Grids

- Motivational Example
- Network Optimization

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Predicting Failures (Static Overloads) in Power Grids Control of Reactive Flows in Distribution Networks An Optimization Approach to Design of Transmission Grids Motivational Example Network Optimization

Grid Design: Motivational Example

- Cost dispatch only (transportation,economics)
- Power flows highly approximate
- Unstable solutions
- Intermittency in Renewables not accounted



An unstable grid example



Hybrid Optimization - is current "engineering" solution developed at LANL: Toole,Fair,Berscheid,Bent 09 extending and built on NREL "20% by 2030 report for DOE

Network Optimization \Rightarrow

Design of the Grid as a tractable global optimization

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ ○ ◆

http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/SmarterGrids/

Predicting Failures (Static Overloads) in Power Grids Control of Reactive Flows in Distribution Networks An Optimization Approach to Design of Transmission Grids Motivational Example Network Optimization



Boyd, Ghosh, Saberi '06 in the context of resistive networks also Boyd, Vandenberghe, El Gamal and S. Yun '01 for Integrated Circuits

Michael (Misha) Chertkov – chertkov@lanl.gov

http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/SmarterGrids/

Network Optimization: Losses+Costs [J. Johnson, MC '10]

Costs need to account for

- "sizing lines" grows with g_{ab} , linearly or faster (convex in \hat{g})
- "breaking ground" l_0 -norm (non convex in \hat{g}) but also imposes desired sparsity

Resulting Optimization is non-convex

$$\min_{\hat{g}>0} \left(\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\hat{G}(\hat{g}) \right)^{-1} \hat{P} \right) + \sum_{\{a,b\}} \left(\alpha_{ab} g_{ab} + \beta_{ab} \phi_{\gamma}(g_{ab}) \right) \right), \ \phi_{\gamma}(x) = \frac{x}{x+\gamma}$$

Tricks (for efficient solution of the non-convex problem)

- "annealing": start from large (convex) γ and track to $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ (combinatorial)
- Majorization-minimization (from Candes, Boyd '05) for current γ : $\hat{g}^{t+1} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\hat{g}>0} \left(\operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{L}) + \hat{\alpha} \cdot \ast \hat{g} + \hat{\beta} \cdot \ast \phi'_{\gamma}(g^{t}_{ab}) \cdot \ast g_{ab} \right)$

Predicting Failures (Static Overloads) in Power Grids Control of Reactive Flows in Distribution Networks An Optimization Approach to Design of Transmission Grids Motivational Example Network Optimization

Single-Generator Examples (I)



Michael (Misha) Chertkov - chertkov@lanl.gov

http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/SmarterGrids/

ELE DQC

Predicting Failures (Static Overloads) in Power Grids Control of Reactive Flows in Distribution Networks An Optimization Approach to Design of Transmission Grids Motivational Example Network Optimization

Single-Generator Examples (II)



Michael (Misha) Chertkov - chertkov@lanl.gov

http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/SmarterGrids/

11 DQC

Predicting Failures (Static Overloads) in Power Grids Control of Reactive Flows in Distribution Networks An Optimization Approach to Design of Transmission Grids Motivational Example Network Optimization

Multi-Generator Example



Motivational Example Network Optimization

Adding Robustness

To impose the requirement that the network design should be robust to failures of lines or generators, we use the worst-case power dissipation:

$$\mathcal{L}^{\setminus k}(\hat{g}) = \max_{\forall \{a,b\}: z_{ab} \in \{0,1\} \mid \sum_{\{a,b\}} z_{ab} = N-k} \mathcal{L}(\hat{z}. * \hat{g}))$$

- It is tractable to compute only for small values of k.
- Note, the point-wise maximum over a collection of convex function is convex.
- So the linearized problem is again a convex optimization problem at every step continuation/MM procedure.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Predicting Failures (Static Overloads) in Power Grids Control of Reactive Flows in Distribution Networks An Optimization Approach to Design of Transmission Grids Motivational Example Network Optimization

Single-Generator Examples [+Robustness] (I)



Michael (Misha) Chertkov - chertkov@lanl.gov

http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/SmarterGrids/

ELE DQC

Predicting Failures (Static Overloads) in Power Grids Control of Reactive Flows in Distribution Networks An Optimization Approach to Design of Transmission Grids Motivational Example Network Optimization

Single-Generator Examples [+Robustness] (II)



http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/SmarterGrids/

== 990

Predicting Failures (Static Overloads) in Power Grids Control of Reactive Flows in Distribution Networks An Optimization Approach to Design of Transmission Grids Motivational Example Network Optimization

Multi-Generator Example [+Robustness]



Michael (Misha) Chertkov – chertkov@lanl.gov http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/SmarterGrids/

Motivational Example Network Optimization

Conclusion (for the Network Optimization part)

A promising heuristic approach to design of power transmission networks. However, cannot guarantee global optimum.

• CDC10: http://arxiv.org/abs/1004.2285

Future Work:

- Applications to real grids, e.g. for 30/2030
- Bounding optimality gap?
- Use non-convex continuation approach to place generators
- possibly useful for graph partitioning problems
- adding further constraints (e.g. don't overload lines)
- extension to (exact) AC power flow?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Bottom Line

- A lot of interesting collective phenomena in the power grid settings for Applied Math, Physics, CS/IT analysis
- The research is timely (blackouts, renewables, stimulus)

Other Problems the team plans working on

- Efficient PHEV charging via queuing/scheduling with and without communications and delays
- Power Grid Spectroscopy (power grid as a medium, electro-mechanical waves and their control, voltage collapse, dynamical state estimations)
- Effects of Renewables (intermittency of winds, clouds) on the grid & control
- Load Control, scheduling with time horizon (dynamic programming +)
- Price Dynamics & Control for the Distribution Power Grid
- Post-emergency Control (restoration and de-islanding)

For more info - check:

http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/SmarterGrids/

Michael (Misha) Chertkov - chertkov@lanl.gov

http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/SmarterGrids/

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

Collaborations & Programm Development

- LANL has unique combination of theory (T-,CCS-) and application (D-) expertise to tackle the challenging network/collective problems
- The ultimate goal is to lead efforts within the DOE complex
- Multiple collaborations (students, joint grants, exploration) with MIT, Berkeley, U of Michigan, U of Texas, UCSB, PNNL and others
- Actively involved in (NEW) community Building (new confs, journals,etc)
- New DTRA funding (1.2M over 3 years) Cascading Failures
- New OE funding (350K for FY10 with possible growth in future FYs) -Control of PV with NEDO & LA county

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

- Wait for NSF [via NMC] on Grid Spectroscopy (electro-mech. waves)
- Plan responding to multiple DOE/EERE calls related to the off-shore wind
- Multiple other submissions/calls, mainly from DOE & DHS

Smart Grid Program at LANL

Challenges

- Pool of interested researchers and students currently small (but growing fast!)
- We need to encourage students in CS/IT/Control/Optimization/Physics to work on these problems through development of joint graduate programs with top schools such as MIT, Berkeley, Stanford, USC, and others.
- Transition to Programmatic (DOE+) funding path to practical software/middleware (via D- ?)
- Need to hire in this new area especially our best CS/IT/Control/Optimization/Physics postdocs working on the Smart Grid Project



Thank You!

Michael (Misha) Chertkov – chertkov@lanl.gov

http://cnls.lanl.gov/~chertkov/SmarterGrids/

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆目 → ◆目 → ◆□ →