## Kinetics of Ring Formation

#### Eli Ben-Naim

Los Alamos National Laboratory

#### with: Paul Krapivsky (Boston Univ.)

E. Ben-Naim and P.L. Krapivsky, Phys. Rev. E 83, 061102 (2011)
Talk, paper available from: http://cnls.lanl.gov/~ebn
ICIAM, Vancouver, BC, Canada, July 22, 2011

# Plan

I. Kinetics of random graphs

2. Kinetics of <u>regular</u> random graphs

- Finite rings phase
- Giant rings phase

3. Shuffling

#### Kinetics of Random Graphs



- Initial state: N isolated nodes
- Dynamical linking
  - I. Pick 2 nodes at random
  - 2. Connect the 2 nodes with a link
  - 3. Augment time  $t \to t + \frac{1}{2N}$
- Each node experiences one linking event per unit time

Flory, Stockmeyer 43 Erdos, Renyi 60

## Aggregation Process

- Cluster = a connected graph component
- Aggregation rate = product of cluster sizes

$$K_{ij} = ij$$



Master equation

$$\frac{dc_k}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=k} ijc_ic_j - kc_k \qquad c_k(t=0) = \delta_{k,1}$$

Cluster size density

$$c_k(t) = \frac{1}{k \cdot k!} (kt)^{k-1} e^{-kt}$$

Divergent second moment reveals percolation transition

$$M_2 = (1-t)^{-1}$$
  $t_g = 1$  Ziff 82





- Microscopic clusters, tree structure
- Cluster size distribution contains entire mass  $M(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k c_k = 1$
- Typical cluster size diverges near percolation point

$$k_* \sim (1-t)^{-2}$$

• Critical size distribution has power law tail

$$c_k(1) \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} k^{-5/2}$$

# Giant Component Phase (t>1)

- Macroscopic component exist, complex structure
- Cluster size distribution contains fraction of mass  $M(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kc_k = 1 - g$
- Giant component accounts for "missing" mass

$$g = 1 - e^{-gt}$$

 Giant component takes over entire system

$$g \to 1$$



#### Random Regular Graphs





Kun 01

- All nodes have identical degree
- Motivation: rings of magnetic particles
- Consider simplest case: rings; all nodes have degree 2
- Consider directed links (without loss of generality)
- In a system of N nodes, there are exactly N links

Number of links is conserved!

#### **Redirection Process**



- Dynamical redirection
  - I. Pick 2 nodes at random
  - 2. Connect 2 nodes by redirecting 2 associated links
  - 3. Augment time  $t \to t + \frac{1}{2N}$
- A node experiences one redirection event per unit time
- Initial condition: isolated nodes, each has a self-link
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   Q
   <li

Redirection process maintains ring topology

#### **Aggregation-Fragmentation Process**



Aggregation: <u>inter</u>-ring redirection

Identical to random graph process  $i, j \xrightarrow{K_{ij}} i+j$  with  $K_{ij} = ij$ 

• Fragmentation: intra-ring redirection

**Fragmentation rate depends on system size!**  $i+j \xrightarrow{F_{ij}} i, j$  with  $F_{ij} = \frac{i+j}{N}$ 

• Total fragmentation rate is quadratic

$$F_k = \sum_{i+j=k} F_{ij} = \frac{k(k-1)}{2N}$$

**Reversible process** 

#### Rate Equations

• Size distribution satisfies

$$\frac{dr_k}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=k} ij r_i r_j - k r_k + \frac{1}{N} \left[ \sum_{j>k} j r_j - \frac{k(k-1)}{2} r_k \right]$$

giant

rings

- Rate equation includes explicit dependence on  ${\cal N}$
- Perturbation theory
   I

$$r_k = f_k + \frac{1}{N}g_k$$

• Fragmentation irrelevant for finite rings  $F_k \sim \frac{k^2}{N}$ 

$$\frac{df_k}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=k} ijf_i f_j - kf_k$$

#### Recover random graph equation

## Finite Rings Phase (t<1)

• All rings are finite in size

$$M(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k = 1$$

• Size distribution

$$f_k(t) = \frac{1}{k \cdot k!} (kt)^{k-1} e^{-kt}$$

- Second moment diverges in finite time  $M_2 = \sum_k k^2 f_k$  $\frac{dM_2}{dt} = M_2^2 \implies M_2 = (1-t)^{-1}$
- Critical size distribution

$$f_k(1) \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} k^{-5/2}$$

Identical behavior to good-old random graph

#### Critical Size Distribution

#### Simulation results



Excellent agreement between theory and simulation

## Giant Rings Phase (t>1)

• Finite rings contain only a fraction of g all mass

$$M(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k f_k = 1 - g$$

• "Missing Mass" 1-g must be found in giant rings

$$g = 1 - e^{-gt}$$

- Expect giant, macroscopic rings
- Very fast aggregation and fragmentation processes

$$F_k \sim \frac{k^2}{N} \sim N$$
 when  $k \sim N$ 

Fragmentation comparable to aggregation No longer negligible

#### Distribution of giant rings

- Quantify giant rings by normalized size  $\ell = \frac{k}{N}$
- Average number of giant rings of normalized size  $\ell$

$$g(t) = \int_0^{g(t)} d\ell \,\ell \,G(\ell, t)$$

• Rate equation

 $\frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial G(\ell, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\ell} \frac{\operatorname{agg gain} = \ell/2}{ds \, s(\ell - s)G(s, t)G(\ell - s, t) - \ell(g - \ell)G(\ell, t)} + \int_{\ell}^{g} \frac{\operatorname{frag gain} = g - \ell}{ds \, s \, G(s, t) - \frac{1}{2} \ell^{2} G(\ell, t)}$ 

Quasi steady-state

$$G(\ell, t) = \begin{cases} \ell^{-1} & \ell < g(t), \\ 0 & \ell > g(t). \end{cases}$$

Universal distribution, span grows with time

### Average Number of Giant Rings

#### Simulation results



#### Comments

- Rate equation for <u>average number</u> of giant rings  $\frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial G(\ell, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\ell ds \, s(\ell - s) G(s, t) G(\ell - s, t) - \ell(g - \ell) G(\ell, t) + \int_\ell^g ds \, s \, G(s, t) - \frac{1}{2} \ell^2 G(\ell, t)$
- Practically closed equation; coupling to finite rings only through total mass g(t)
- Steady flux N dg/dt from finite rings to giant rings
- Number of giant rings is not proportional to N!

$$N_g \simeq \ln N$$

Number of microscopic rings proportional to NNumber of macroscopic rings logarithmic in N

#### Total Number of Giant Rings

#### Simulation results



### Multiple Coexisting Giant Rings



Total mass of giant rings is a deterministic quantity Mass of an individual giant ring is a stochastic quantity! Giant rings break and recombine very rapidly

### Limiting Distribution

• Steady-state size distribution satisfies

$$0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=k} K_{ij} c_i c_j - c_k \sum_{j\geq 1} K_{kj} c_j + \sum_{j\geq 1} F_{kj} c_{j+k} - \frac{1}{2} c_k \sum_{i+j=k} F_{ij}$$

Detailed balance condition

$$K_{ij} c_i c_j = F_{ij} c_{i+j} \qquad \qquad \text{Lowe 95}$$

- Substitute aggregation and fragmentation rates  $K_{ij} = ij$   $F_{ij} = \frac{i+j}{N}$
- Steady-state solution

$$(ic_i)(jc_j) = \frac{1}{N}(i+j)c_{i+j} \implies Nc_k = \frac{1}{k}$$

• Consistent with

$$G(\ell, t = \infty) = \frac{1}{\ell}$$
 for all  $\ell < 1$ 

#### Final Distribution

#### Simulation results



## Shuffling Algorithm

 $1\,\underline{2}\,3\,4\,\underline{5}\,6 \rightarrow 1\,5\,\underline{3}\,\underline{4}\,2\,6 \rightarrow 1\,5\,4\,3\,2\,6 \rightarrow \cdots$ 

- Initial configuration: N ordered integers
- Pairwise shuffling:
  - I. Pick 2 numbers at random
  - 2. Exchange positions
  - 3. Augment time  $t \to t + \frac{1}{2N}$
- Each integer is shuffled once per unit time
- Efficient algorithm, computational cost is  $\mathcal{O}(N)$ Isomorphic to dynamical regular random graph!

#### Cycles and Permutations



• Cycle structure of a permutation

 $134265 \implies (1)(234)(56)$ 

• **Aggregation**: <u>inter</u>-cycle shuffling

$$i, j \xrightarrow{K_{ij}} i+j$$
 with  $K_{ij} = ij$ 

• Fragmentation: intra-cycle shuffling

$$i+j \xrightarrow{F_{ij}} i,j$$
 with  $F_{ij} = \frac{i+j}{N}$ 

Identical aggregation and fragmentation rates

### Implications to Shuffling

- N pairwise shuffles generate a giant cycle
- Size of emergent giant cycle is  $N^{2/3}$
- NlnN pairwise shuffles generate random order

Golomb 61 Flatto 85 Diaconis 86

## Summary

- Kinetic formulation of a regular randm graph
- Equivalent to: (i) aggregation-fragmentation (ii) shuffling
- Finite rings phase: fragmentation is irrelevant
- Giant rings phase
  - Multiple giant rings coexist
  - Number of giant rings fluctuates
  - Total mass is a deterministic quantity
  - Very rapid evolution